SFUND RECORDS CENTER 88137893

D

NORTH HOLLYWOO.

SFUND RECORDS CTR 2166-08475

LOIS J. SCHIFFER Assistant Attorney General 2 Environment & Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice 3 DAVID B. GLAZER Environmental Enforcement Section Environment & Natural Resources Division 5 United States Department of Justice 301 Howard Street, Suite 870 6 San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 744-6491 7 NORA M. MANELLA United States Attorney. 8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORN LEON W. WEIDMAN 9 Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division 10 KURT ZIMMERMAN Assistant United States Attorney ENTERED Federal Building, Room 7516 11 CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT 300 North Los Angles Street Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-5709

Agerneys for Plaintiff, United States of America

Agerneys for Plaintiffs continued on next page) MAY | 4 1997 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Storneys for Plaintiffs continued on next page) 16 16 DISTRICT IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 16 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 18 CIVIL NO. 93-6490-MRP Plaintiff. 19 SECOND PARTIAL CONSENT DECREE 20 ALLIED-SIGNAL, INC., et al., 21 Defendants. 22 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 23 Plaintiff, 24 SECOND 25 PARTIAL CONSENT DECREE ALLIED-SIGNAL, INC., et al., 26 Defendants. 27 28

```
NANCY J. MARVEL
2
        Regional Counsel
   MARIE M. RONGONE
3
         Assistant Regional Counsel
         United States Environmental
4
         Protection Agency, Region IX
         75 Hawthorne Street
5
         San Francisco, California
         Telephone: (415) 744-1313
6
    Attorneys for Plaintiff, United States of America
7
8
   DANIEL E. LUNGREN
         Attorney General
9
    THEODORA BERGER
         Senior Assistant Attorney General
    DONALD A. ROBINSON
10
    ANN RUSHTON
11
         Deputy Attorneys General
         California Department of Justice
         300 South Spring Street, #500
12
         Los Angeles, California 90013
13
         Telephone: (213) 897-2608
14
   Attorneys for Plaintiff, State of California
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
```

24

25

26

27

_		TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	I.	BACKGROUND
3	II.	DEFINITIONS
4	III.	JURISDICTION
5	IV.	PARTIES BOUND
6	v .	REIMBURSEMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS
7	VI.	COVENANTS NOT TO SUE AND RESERVATIONS OF RIGHTS 18
8	VII.	CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION
9	VIII.	NHOU SITE ACCESS
10	IX.	ACCESS TO INFORMATION
11	Х.	RETENTION OF RECORDS
12	XI.	NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS
13	XII.	RETENTION OF JURISDICTION
14	XIII.	LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT 34
15	XIV.	SECTION HEADINGS
16	xv.	<u>SIGNATORIES</u>
17		
18		
19		
20	·	
21		
22		
23	·	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

BACKGROUND

COMPLAINTS. On October 26, 1993, the United States of Α. America ("United States"), on behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), and, on October 29, 1993, the State of California ("State"), on behalf of the State Department of Toxic Substances Control (formerly, the Toxic Substances Control Program of the State Department of Health Services), filed complaints in this matter pursuant to Sections 107 and 113 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA"), as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 and 9613. Both the United States and the State ("Plaintiffs") filed, prior to the lodging of this Consent Decree, amended complaints, which add additional defendants to the original complaints. In the amended complaints, the Plaintiffs seek recovery of response costs incurred by the Plaintiffs in connection with actions taken pursuant to CERCLA in response to releases and threatened releases of hazardous substances from the Defendants' facilities in the San Fernando Valley Groundwater Basin ("Basin") and at the North Hollywood Operable Unit Site ("NHOU Site") within the Basin.

B. SITE DESCRIPTION.

1 |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Basin. The San Fernando Valley Superfund Sites ("SFV Sites") are located in the eastern half of the Basin, between the San Gabriel and the Santa Monica Mountains, in Los Angeles County, California. EPA has divided the SFV Sites in two different ways. For the purpose of placing the SFV Sites on the National Priorities List ("NPL"), EPA divided the SFV Sites into 28 the following four areas based on the location of drinking water

well fields that were known to be contaminated by volatile organic compounds ("VOCs") in 1984: Area 1 (North Hollywood Area), Area 2 (Crystal Springs Area), Area 3 (Verdugo Basin), and Area 4 (Pollock Area). Once more was known about the extent of groundwater contamination and for the purpose of accelerating the investigation and cleanup of the SFV Sites, EPA divided the SFV Sites into the following five Operable Units ("OUs"): North Hollywood (the NHOU Site), Burbank, Glendale North, Glendale South, and Pollock.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 2. NHOU Site. This Consent Decree focuses on the NHOU Site, originally listed as part of the San Fernando Valley Area 1/North Hollywood Area NPL site. The NHOU Site is comprised of the areal extent of hazardous substance groundwater contamination that is presently located in the vicinity of the North Hollywood Well Field and includes any areas to which and from which such hazardous substance groundwater contamination migrates.
- NATURE OF SITE CONTAMINATION. Tests conducted in the C. early 1980s to determine the presence of certain industrial chemicals in the State's drinking water revealed extensive VOC contamination in the Basin's groundwater. The primary contaminants of concern were and are the solvents trichloroethene ("TCE") and tetrachloroethene ("PCE"), widely used in a variety of industries including metal plating, machinery degreasing, and dry cleaning. By August 1985, groundwater from 27 of the 35 production wells in the North Hollywood Well Field alone exceeded the Federal Maximum Contaminant Level ("MCL") for TCE. MCLs are 28 drinking water standards established under the Safe Drinking

Water Act of 1974, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 300f et seq. Other VOC contaminants in the Basin have also been detected above their MCLs. As a result of this groundwater contamination, many production wells have been taken out of service, despite the fact that the Basin's groundwater has been used to supply the domestic water needs of approximately 800,000 people. According to recent estimates, the plumes of TCE contamination above the MCL in the Basin's groundwater extend over an area eleven miles long and as great as three miles wide.

. . . 7

- D. NPL LISTING. In June 1986, EPA placed the SFV Sites, which include the NHOU Site, on the NPL (see 51 Federal Register 21054). The NPL is promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, and is a list of the most seriously contaminated hazardous substances sites in the country (see 40 C.F.R. Part 300, Appendix B). As stated in Section I.B.1 above, the SFV Sites listed on the NPL are Area 1 (North Hollywood Area), Area 2 (Crystal Springs Area), Area 3 (Verdugo Basin), and Area 4 (Pollock Area). The original boundaries of the SFV Sites were based on the location of the drinking water well fields that were known to be contaminated by VOCs in 1984. Groundwater data collected since 1984 show that VOC groundwater contamination extends beyond the original boundaries drawn at the time the SFV Sites were placed on the NPL.
- E. <u>OU DESIGNATION</u>. In 1985, EPA determined that the most effective way of dealing with the spreading groundwater contamination in the Basin was to divide the SFV Sites into OUs. Each OU represents a discrete, interim remedial action that will inhibit the migration of contamination in the groundwater prior

to the completion of a Basin-wide Remedial Investigation ("RI") and Feasibility Study ("FS") and selection of any Basin-wide remedial actions. As stated in Section I.B.1 above, EPA has identified the following five OUs: North Hollywood (the NHOU Site), Burbank, Glendale North, Glendale South, and Pollock. EPA has issued Record of Decision ("ROD") documents selecting interim remedial actions for four of these OUs: NHOU Site (1987), Burbank OU (1989), and Glendale North and South OUs (1993).

11

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- NHOU SITE FS AND ROD. In November 1986, pursuant to a F. cooperative agreement with EPA and the State of California, the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power ("LADWP") completed an OU FS for the NHOU Site. After providing an opportunity for the public to comment on the completed OU FS, in September 1987, EPA issued a ROD for the NHOU Site. The interim remedial action selected in the 1987 NHOU ROD is fifteen years of groundwater extraction and treatment.
- G. NHOU SITE INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTION. In 1989, pursuant to another cooperative agreement with EPA and the State of California, LADWP constructed the NHOU Site groundwater extraction and treatment facilities. These facilities pump out contaminated groundwater, remove the contaminants from the groundwater, and convey the treated groundwater to LADWP's pump station for distribution to the public. Consistent with Section 104(c)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(c)(3), EPA paid for ninety percent and the State paid for ten percent of the construction costs of the extraction and treatment facilities; and EPA is paying for ninety percent and the State is responsible for paying 28 ten percent of the operating costs of the NHOU Site interim

remedial action. Pursuant to its cooperative agreement with EPA and the State of California, LADWP will continue to operate and maintain the NHOU Site Interim Remedial Action.

1 |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- Η. BASIN-WIDE GROUNDWATER AND SOIL CLEANUP ACTIVITIES. Remediation of groundwater in the Basin is a collaborative undertaking of EPA, the State, LADWP, and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region ("RWQCB"). In December 1992, pursuant to another cooperative agreement with EPA, LADWP completed the Phase 1 Basin-wide groundwater RI. EPA has begun preparing a Basin-wide groundwater FS. In addition to groundwater investigation and remediation activities, EPA, in conjunction with the State and RWOCB, has conducted and continues to conduct soil investigations at individual facilities throughout the Basin to uncover potential sources of groundwater contamination. In September 1989, EPA entered into a cooperative agreement with RWOCB to provide funds to augment the State's program to investigate sources of groundwater contamination in the Basin.
- PLAINTIFFS' ALLEGATION OF DEFENDANTS' LIABILITY. Plaintiffs allege that: (i) the past, present, or potential migrations of "hazardous substances," as defined in Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14), from the Defendants' "facilities." as defined in Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(9), constitute actual or threatened "releases," as defined in Section 101(22) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(22); (ii) the Defendants are persons subject to liability under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a); (iii) the releases or threatened 28 | releases of hazardous substances from the Defendants' facilities

have caused the Plaintiffs to incur and to continue to incur "response" costs, within the meaning of Section 101(25) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(25); and (iv) the actions taken by the Plaintiffs in response to releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances from the Defendants' facilities were not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan.

- J. SETTLING DEFENDANTS' DENIAL OF LIABILITY. The Defendants that have entered into this Consent Decree ("Settling Defendants") do not admit and expressly deny any liability to the Plaintiffs arising out of the transactions or occurrences alleged in the amended complaints or as set forth above. The Plaintiffs and the Settling Defendants agree that neither this Consent Decree, nor the entry into settlement, nor any payments pursuant to this Consent Decree shall constitute or be construed as a finding or an admission, adjudication or acknowledgement of any fact or law, or of any liability, fault or wrongdoing, or evidence of such, or an admission of violation of any law, rule or regulation by Settling Defendants nor as an estoppel or waiver of any defenses of Settling Defendants except as provided in ... Section VI.G of this Consent Decree.

Κ. PURPOSE.

1 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Pursuant to a cooperative agreement with EPA and the State of California, LADWP is implementing the NHOU Site Interim Remedial Action selected in the 1987 NHOU ROD. purpose of this Consent Decree is to avoid prolonged litigation and to provide for the Settling Defendants' payment of specified amounts of the past and future response costs for the NHOU Site 28 Interim Remedial Action selected in the 1987 NHOU ROD and of the past costs of Basin-wide investigations relating to their facilities located at the NHOU Site in full and complete satisfaction of any and all claims against Settling Defendants for such costs.

- 2. The parties to this Consent Decree ("Parties") recognize that the Settling Defendants' payment represents only a part of the total cost of the NHOU Site Interim Remedial Action selected in the 1987 NHOU ROD and of the past costs of Basin-wide investigations relating to the facilities located at the NHOU Site.
- 3. In entering into this Consent Decree, the Plaintiffs have considered the circumstances of the releases and threatened releases of hazardous substances in the Basin, the involvement of the Settling Defendants in the ownership and/or operation of facilities located at the NHOU Site and the willingness and capacity of Settling Defendants and the other Defendants to resolve this matter.
- 4. The Parties agree, and the Court by entering this Consent Decree finds, that this Consent Decree has been negotiated by the Parties in good faith and implementation of this Consent Decree will expedite the cleanup of the NHOU Site and will avoid prolonged and complicated litigation between the Parties, and that this Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and in the public interest.

THEREFORE, with the consent of the parties to this Consent Decree, it is ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED:

27 (

II. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Consent Decree that are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in CERCLA or in such regulations. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Consent Decree or in any appendices attached hereto and incorporated hereunder, the following definitions shall apply:

- A. "Basin-wide Response Costs" shall mean all costs that the Plaintiffs have incurred or may incur for Basin-wide/non-operable unit specific investigations or other non-operable unit specific response actions.
- B. "CERCLA" shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601 et seq.
- C. "Certification of Completion" shall mean EPA's certification pursuant to Section 122(f)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(f)(3), that all remedial actions have been completed that relate to the NHOU Site in accordance with the requirements of the National Contingency Plan and any applicable Record of Decision.
- D. "Consent Decree" or "Second Partial Consent Decree" shall mean this Decree and any attached appendices. In the event of conflict between this Decree and any appendix, this Decree shall control. "First Consent Decree" shall mean the Partial Consent Decree in this action lodged with this Court on March 14,

12 |

- E. "Day" shall mean a calendar day. In computing any period of time under this Consent Decree, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal Holiday, the period shall run until the close of business of the next working day.
- F. "EPA" shall mean the United States Environmental Protection Agency and any successor departments or agencies of the United States.
- G. "Future Basin-wide Response Costs" shall mean all Basin-wide response costs that EPA has incurred or will incur after April 30, 1992 and that the State has incurred or will incur after December 31, 1993.
- H. "Interest," in accordance with Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), shall mean interest at the rate specified for interest on investments of the Hazardous Substance Superfund established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507. In calculating interest, Plaintiffs may compound on a monthly or annual basis.
- I. "Interim Remedial Action" shall mean the interim remedial action selected in the 1987 NHOU ROD.
- J. "North Hollywood Operable Unit" or "NHOU Site" shall mean the areal extent of hazardous substance groundwater contamination that is presently located in the vicinity of the North Hollywood Well Field and includes any areas to which and from which such hazardous substance groundwater contamination migrates. EPA has determined that each of the Settling Defendants named in its amended complaints has owned and/or operated and/or currently owns and/or operates facilities that

are located at the NHOU Site and/or has arranged for the disposal of hazardous substances at a facility located at the NHOU Site.

"Parties" shall mean the United States, the State of Κ. California, and the Settling Defendants.

1 |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- "Past Basin-wide Response Costs" shall mean Basin-wide Response Costs incurred by EPA prior to and including April 30, 1992 and Basin-wide Response Costs incurred by the State prior to and including December 31, 1993.
- "Plaintiffs" shall mean the United States and the State -Μ. of California.
- "Releasees" shall mean Settling Defendants and their officers, directors, employees and agents, and where the Settling Defendant is a trustee, its successor trustees appointed to carry out the purposes of said trust; and where the Settling Defendant is a corporate entity, its corporate successors to potential liability for the NHOU Site. "Releasees" shall also mean the entities associated with one or more of the Settling Defendants as set forth in Appendix 1 to this Consent Decree. However, Releasees shall not include any person or entity with liability for the NHOU Site independent of that person's or entity's association with a Settling Defendant.
 - "Settling Defendants" shall mean 0.
- Defendants AlliedSignal, Inc., Hawker Pacific, Inc., Peggy M. Wagner, Joseph Basinger, California Car Hikers Service, Inc., and Los Angeles By-Products Co.;
- 2. The following parties who were not sued by the governments, and who, as described below, are related to one or 28 more of the other defendants, or third party defendants, or to

the property where such other defendant(s) or third party defendant(s) operate or operated in the past:

defendant HR Textron, Inc.; related to third party

- b. Sundstrand Corporation, Joan O'Brien, William E. Tolson, Gary O'Brien, and Jean W. Blomberg, related to the property in Pacoima, California where third party defendant HR Textron operates;
- 9 c. Sam Adlen, related to defendant California Car 10 Hikers Service, Inc.;
 - d. The Los Angeles County Metropolitan

 Transportation Authority, related to the property in Sun Valley,

 California where defendant California Car Hikers Service, Inc.

 operates; and
 - e. Unitrode, Inc. and U.S. Mikrotec Components, related to the property in Sun Valley, California, where third party defendant AVX Filters Corporation operates.
 - 3. Third party defendants, who have not been sued by the governments, Parker-Hannifin Corporation, Inchcape, Inc., Crown Disposal Company, Inc., Western Waste Industries, Browning-Ferris Industries of California, Inc., E.I. DuPont De Nemours, HR Textron, Inc., AVX Filters Corporation, Price Pfister, Inc., Nupla Corporation, Chase Chemical Company, Inc., Holchem, Inc., Herman and Isabel Benjamin, and the Benjamin Family Trust.
 - 4. Third party defendants Parker-Hannifin Corporation and Inchcape, Inc. were brought into this litigation by third party complaints filed by Hawker Pacific, Inc., Gordon and Peggy Wagner and Joseph Basinger. Those parties have entered into a

separate Settlement Agreement, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit A, which shall govern as between and among them to the extent their respective rights, obligations and releases set forth in said Settlement Agreement differ from and/or are greater than those contained in this Consent Decree.

P. "State" shall mean the State of California.

- Q. "United States" shall mean the United States of America.
- R. "1987 NHOU ROD" shall mean the EPA Record of Decision relating to the North Hollywood Operable Unit of the San Fernando Valley Area 1/North Hollywood Area National Priorities List site that was signed in September 1987 by the EPA Region IX Deputy Regional Administrator, acting for the Regional Administrator, and all attachments thereto.
- S. "1987 NHOU ROD Response Costs" shall mean all past and future costs that the Plaintiffs or any other person have incurred or will incur for implementation of the remedy selected in the 1987 NHOU ROD.

III. JURISDICTION

This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345, and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, and 9613(b). This Court also has personal jurisdiction over the Settling Defendants. Solely for the purposes of this Consent Decree, the Settling Defendants waive all objections and defenses that they may have to jurisdiction of this Court or to venue in this District and shall not challenge the entry of this Consent Decree or this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree.

IV. PARTIES BOUND

This Consent Decree is binding upon the Plaintiffs, and upon the Settling Defendants and their heirs, successors, and assigns. Any change in ownership or corporate or other legal status, including but not limited to any transfer of assets or real or personal property, shall in no way alter the status or responsibilities of the Settling Defendants under this Consent Decree.

V. REIMBURSEMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS AND RELATED OBLIGATIONS

- A. <u>PAYMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS</u>. Except as otherwise provided in Paragraph V.F, within thirty (30) days of entry of this Consent Decree, each Settling Defendant shall pay the settlement amount it is obligated to pay pursuant to Paragraph V.F below to the United States and to the State for 1987 NHOU ROD Response Costs and Past Basin-wide Response Costs.
- B. FORM OF PAYMENT. Payment to the United States by each Settling Defendant shall be made in accordance with instructions provided by Plaintiff United States to the Settling Defendants upon execution of the Consent Decree. Of the total amount to be paid to EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree, \$ 2,961,540 shall be deposited in the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund as reimbursement for past response costs incurred at or in connection with the Site as of the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, and \$ 1,850,960 ("the Remainder") and any Interest payments shall be deposited in the NHOU Special Account to be retained and used to conduct or finance the response action at or in connection with the Site. Any balance remaining in the NHOU Special Account after completion of the response at or in

connection with the Site shall be deposited in the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund. Payment to the State shall be made in the form of a certified check or cashier's check made payable to "Cashier, Department of Toxic Substances Control," and shall be forwarded to:

 $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{R}^n} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$

Department of Toxic Substances Control State of California Accounting Office 400 P Street, 4th Floor Sacramento, California 95814

Each Settling Defendant shall send a transmittal letter with the check referencing the North Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site, Project Nos. 300126 and 300287. Each Settling Defendant shall also send a copy of its check and transmittal letter to the State as specified in Section XI.

C. FAILURE TO MAKE TIMELY PAYMENTS

- 1. <u>Interest on Late Payments</u>. In the event that any payments required under Section V are not made when due, Interest on the unpaid amount shall begin to accrue thirty (30) days after the effective date of this Consent Decree, at the rate specified in Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), through the date of payment.
- 2. Stipulated Penalties. If any amounts due to the Plaintiffs under this Consent Decree are not paid by the required date, the delinquent Settling Defendant shall pay as a stipulated penalty, in addition to the interest required by Section V.C.1 above, \$1000 for the first 30 days and \$5,000 thereafter per day that such payment is late. Stipulated penalties are due and payable within thirty (30) days of the delinquent Settling Defendant's receipt from either Plaintiff of a demand for payment

of the penalties. All payments of stipulated penalties to the United States shall be made in the form of a certified check or cashier's check made payable to "EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund," and shall be forwarded to:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX Superfund Accounting P.O. Box 360863M Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15251 Attention: Collection Officer for Superfund

The delinquent Settling Defendant shall send a transmittal letter with the check referencing the North Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site and the civil action number 93-6490-MRP(Tx), and shall also state that the funds are to be applied to site spill identifier numbers N1 and 59. The delinquent Settling Defendant shall also send copies of the check and transmittal letter to the United States as specified in Section XI. All payments of stipulated penalties to the State shall be made in the form and manner specified in Section V.B above. Penalties shall accrue as provided above regardless of whether Plaintiffs have notified the delinquent Settling Defendant of the violation or made a demand for payment, but need only be paid upon demand. However, payment shall be considered timely with respect to each Settling Defendant so long as the Settling Defendant has given timely instructions to a competent financial institution for the subject Electronic Funds Transfer ("EFT") to be made in a timely manner, and has promptly upon the transfer obtained a written verification from the financial institution that the EFT was made in accordance with the Settling Defendant's instructions.

D. <u>COLLECTION ACTIONS</u>. If either Plaintiff must bring an action to collect any payment required by this Consent Decree,

the delinquent Settling Defendant shall reimburse the Plaintiff bringing the action for all costs of such action, including but not limited to costs of attorney time.

1 |

- E. RELATION TO OTHER REMEDIES. Payments made under Section V shall be in addition to any other remedies or sanctions available to the Plaintiffs by virtue of a delinquent Settling Defendant's failure to make timely payments required by this Consent Decree.
- F. <u>PAYMENT SCHEDULE</u>. The Settling Defendants shall pay the United States and the State the following sums, when and in the manner described in Sections V.A and V.B, above.

12		<u>Un</u>	ited States	St	ate of Ca	lifornia
13	AlliedSignal, Inc.	\$	2,990,000	\$	156,000	
14	Hawker Pacific, Inc.	\$	382,500	\$	40,950	
15	Parker-Hannifin Corporation	\$	150,000			
16	Inchcape, Inc.	\$	150,000			
17	Peggy M. Wagner and Joseph Basinger	\$	150,000	\$	9,000	
18 19	California Car Hikers Service	\$	271,800	\$	16,200	
20	Los Angeles County Metropolita Transp. Authority	an \$	28,200	\$	1,800	
21	Los Angeles By-Products Co.	\$	526,020	\$	31,680	•
23	Crown Disposal Company, Inc.	\$	33,280	\$	1,920	÷
24	Western Waste Industries	\$	15,600	\$	900	
25	Browning-Ferris Industries	\$	15,600	\$	900	+ 1
26	E.I. DuPont De Nemours	\$	15,600	\$	900	
27	HR Textron, Inc.	\$	10,400	\$	600	
28	AVX Filters Corporation	\$	10,400	\$	600	

Price Pfister, Inc.	\$ 5,200	\$ 300
Nupla Corporation	\$ 15,600	\$ 900
Herman and Isabel Benjamin and/or The Benjamin Family	42,300	\$ 2,700

In lieu of the lump sum settlement payment specified in Paragraph F above, AlliedSignal, Inc. may make payments as follows:

AlliedSignal, Inc. shall pay \$ 1,000,000 to the United

States and \$ 64,000 to the State when and in the manner described in Sections V.A. and B above in accordance with instructions provided by Plaintiff United States to the Settling Defendants upon execution of the Consent Decree. AlliedSignal, Inc. shall pay the balance of the amount described in Section V.F above as follows: On or before the first anniversary of the entry of this Consent Decree, AlliedSignal, Inc. shall pay \$ 1,000,000 plus \$ 89,700 in interest to the United States and \$ 46,000 plus \$ 4,140 in interest to the State; and, on or before the second anniversary of the Effective Date of this Consent Decree, AlliedSignal, Inc. shall pay the remaining \$ 990,000 to the United States and the remaining \$ 46,000 to the State.

G. ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS OF ALLIEDSIGNAL, INC.

In addition to reimbursing the United States and the State for response costs as set forth in this Section, AlliedSignal, Inc. shall complete the work described in the Addendum to Remedial Action Plan for Shallow Soils Impacted by Volatile Organic Compounds (Hydrologue, August 1, 1994). AlliedSignal, Inc. shall complete such work under the primary direction and oversight of the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board, and under the general oversight of the United States

pursuant to its cooperative agreements with the State Water Resources Control Board for RWQCB investigations. Such work is anticipated to cause AlliedSignal, Inc. to incur costs in the approximate amount of \$ 500,000; however, AlliedSignal, Inc. shall complete such work notwithstanding whether its costs to perform the work are greater or less than \$ 500,000.

11 |

VI. COVENANTS NOT TO SUE-AND RESERVATIONS OF RIGHTS

- A. PLAINTIFFS' COVENANT NOT TO SUE. In consideration of the settlement payments that will be made by Settling Defendants under the terms of the Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Sections VI.B, VI.C, VI.E, and VI.F, the Plaintiffs covenant not to sue or to take administrative action against Settling Defendants and such additional Releasees as are defined in Section II, pursuant to Sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA and Section 7003 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act and comparable state law, including but not limited to the California Hazardous Substance Account Act, Health and Safety Code Section 25300, et seg., and/or common law with regard to all 1987 NHOU ROD Response Costs and all Past Basin-wide Response Costs.
- 1. The covenant not to sue shall take effect as to each Settling Defendant and such additional Releasees as are defined in Section II upon the receipt by Plaintiffs of the payments of that Settling Defendant required by Section V, except as follows:
- a. As to AlliedSignal, Inc., the covenant not to sue shall take effect upon the receipt by the Plaintiffs of the initial payments required by Section V of AlliedSignal, Inc.
 - b. As to Los Angeles By-Products Co., the

covenant not to sue shall take effect upon payment of the total of the amounts due from Los Angeles By-Products, Inc. and the following third party defendants: Crown Disposal Company, Inc., Western Waste Industries, Browning-Ferris Industries of California, Inc., E.I. DuPont De Nemours, HR Textron, Inc., AVX Filters Corporation, Price Pfister, Inc., Nupla Corporation, and Herman and Isabel Benjamin and/or the Benjamin Family Trust.

- c. As to Hawker Pacific, Inc., the covenant not to sue shall take effect upon payment of the total of the amounts due from Hawker Pacific, Inc., Parker-Hannifin Corporation and Inchcape, Inc.
- d. As to Sundstrand Corporation, Joan O'Brien, William E. Tolson, Gary O'Brien, Jean W. Blomberg, and Textron, Inc., the covenant not to sue shall take effect upon payment of the amount due from HR Textron, Inc.
- e. As to California Car Hikers Service, Inc. and Sam Adlen, the covenant not to sue shall take effect upon payment of the total of the amounts due from California Car Hikers Service, Inc. and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority.
- f. As to Unitrode, Inc. and U.S. Mikrotec Components, the covenant not to sue shall take effect upon payment of the amount due from AVX Filters Corporation.
- g. As to Holchem, Inc. and Chase Chemical Company, Inc., the covenant not to sue shall take effect upon payment of the amounts due from Herman and Isabel Benjamin and/or the Benjamin Family Trust.
 - h. As to Peggy M. Wagner and Joseph Basinger, the

covenant not to sue shall take effect upon payment of the amounts due from both of them.

11

2

3

4

5

--6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 I

26

27

2. The covenant not to sue as to each Settling
Defendant is conditioned upon the Settling Defendant making all
of the payments required of that Settling Defendant by this
Consent Decree, except as described in Section VI.A.1.b-g, and as
follows:

As to AlliedSignal, Inc., the covenant not to sue is also conditioned upon completion of its obligations under Section V.G.

The covenant not to sue extends only to the Settling Defendants and the Releasees as defined in Section II, and does not extend to any other person. In the event of any breach by a Settling Defendant of its obligations under this Consent Decree, the covenant not to sue shall remain in effect as to the other Settling Defendants and Releasees despite said breach, except as to Los Angeles By-Products Co., as described in Section VI.A.1.b; Hawker Pacific, Inc. as described in Section VI.A.1.c; Sundstrand Corporation, Joan O'Brien, William E. Tolson, Gary O'Brien, Jean W. Blomberg, and Textron, Inc. as described in Section VI.A.1.d; California Car Hikers Service, Inc. and Sam Adlen as described in Section VI.A.1.e; Unitrode, Inc. and U.S. Mikrotek Components as described in Section VI.A.1.f; and except also as to Herman and Isabel Benjamin and the Benjamin Family Trust as described in Section VI.A.1.g, as between whom the obligation to pay \$ 45,000 is joint and several; and Peggy M. Wagner and Joseph Basinger as described in Section VI.A.1.h, as between whom the obligation to pay \$ 150,000 is

joint and several.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

В. PLAINTIFFS' PRE-CERTIFICATION RESERVATIONS.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the Plaintiffs reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, the right to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action, or to issue an administrative order seeking to compel the Settling Defendants (i) to perform further response actions relating to the NHOU Site or (ii) to reimburse Plaintiffs for costs of response related to such further response actions, if prior to the Certification of Completion:

- conditions at the NHOU Site, previously unknown to the Plaintiffs, are discovered, or
- information, previously unknown to the Plaintiffs, is received, in whole or in part,

and these previously unknown conditions or information together with any other relevant information indicates that any remedial action taken at the NHOU Site is not protective of human health or the environment. As of the date of entry of this Consent Decree, EPA agrees that the interim remedial measures being implemented at the NHOU Site under the 1987 NHOU ROD are protective of human health and the environment.

PLAINTIFFS' POST-CERTIFICATION RESERVATIONS.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the Plaintiffs reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, the right to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action, or to issue an administrative order seeking to compel the Settling Defendants (i) to perform further response actions relating to the NHOU Site or (ii) to reimburse the Plaintiffs for 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1. conditions at the NHOU Site, previously unknown to the Plaintiffs, are discovered, or
- information, previously unknown to the Plaintiffs,
 is received, in whole or in part,

and these previously unknown conditions or this information together with other relevant information indicate that any remedial action taken at the NHOU Site is not protective of human health or the environment.

D. <u>INFORMATION AND CONDITIONS KNOWN TO THE PLAINTIFFS</u>.

For purposes of Section VI.B, the information and the conditions

13 known to the Plaintiffs shall include only that information and

those conditions set forth in the 1987 NHOU ROD, the

administrative record supporting the 1987 NHOU ROD, the San

Fernando Valley Phase I Groundwater RI, December 1992, and all documents submitted to EPA in response to CERCLA Section 104(e)

inquiries or other EPA requests, including discovery requests in

the above-captioned action, prior to May 23, 1996. For purposes

the above captioned docton, prior to har 23, 1330. For purpose

of Section VI.C, the information and the conditions known to the

Plaintiffs shall include the information and conditions known to

the Plaintiffs for purposes of Section VI.B, and that information

and those conditions set forth in (i) any future Explanation(s)

of Significant Differences, ROD(s), or Amendment(s) to any ROD(s)

relating to the NHOU Site; (ii) the administrative record

supporting any future Explanations of Significant Differences,

ROD(s), or Amendments to any ROD(s) relating to the NHOU Site,

(iii) all documents submitted to EPA in response to CERCLA

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Section 104(e) inquiries or other EPA requests, including discovery requests in the above-captioned action, prior to issuance of the Certification of Completion; and (iv) the record for the NHOU Site maintained by EPA following issuance of any ROD(s) but prior to issuance of the Certification of Completion.

- PLAINTIFFS' GENERAL RESERVATION OF RIGHTS. The covenant not to sue set forth above does not pertain to any matters other than those expressly specified in Section VI.A. The Plaintiffs reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against each Settling Defendant with respect to all other matters, including, but not limited to, the following:
 - 1. claims based on a failure by that Settling Defendant to meet a requirement of this Consent Decree;
 - liability arising from the past, present, or 2. future disposal, release, or threat of release of hazardous substances outside of the NHOU Site:
 - 3. liability for damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources:
 - liability for response costs to enforce CERCLA or 4. any other federal environmental law that have been or may be incurred by any federal agencies other than EPA or the Department of Justice on behalf of EPA:
 - liability for response costs to enforce CERCLA or 5. any state environmental law that has been or may be incurred by any state agencies other than DTSC or the State Department of Justice on behalf of

DTSC; and

1 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

201

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

criminal liability.

F. PLAINTIFFS' NHOU SITE-SPECIFIC RESERVATION OF RIGHTS. The covenant not to sue set forth above specifically does not pertain to the performance of any RI/FS other than the 1986 OU/FS that formed the basis for the 1987 NHOU ROD; additional response actions that may be implemented_pursuant to any final remedy or pursuant to any future Explanation(s) of Significant Differences, ROD(s), or Amendment(s) to any ROD(s); costs or activities related to any OU other than the NHOU Site, including any future OU(s); or any unknown environmental condition as to which Plaintiffs have reserved their rights in Paragraphs C and D above.

Plaintiff State currently does not fund the costs of operation and maintenance of the NHOU Site remedy and is not seeking to recover such costs in this action. Costs of operations and maintenance are being funded by the United States and LADWP pursuant to contractual agreement. However, in the event that the State subsequently incurs operations and maintenance costs due to a failure by either the United States or the LADWP to fund the operation and maintenance costs of the NHOU Site remedy, such costs are not to be considered "1987 NHOU ROD response costs" as defined in this Consent Decree and the State reserves the right to seek recovery of such operations and maintenance costs from any potentially responsible party, including each of the Settling Defendants.

SETTLING DEFENDANTS' RESERVATION OF RIGHTS. 28 | Settling Defendants reserve any and all defenses or rights they may have with respect to any actions concerning the NHOU Site except any rights expressly waived in this Consent Decree. Settling Defendants retain any and all rights, claims, remedies and defenses that they have or may have against any person or entity not expressly waived in this Consent Decree, except for rights, claims and remedies any Settling Defendant has or may have against any other Settling Defendant(s) or Releasees for matters addressed in this Consent Decree, which are hereby expressly waived. This reservation shall not affect each Settling Defendant's obligation to perform its obligation under this Consent Decree, and shall not affect EPA's ability to assess stipulated penalties in accordance with Section V.C.2 (Stipulated Penalties).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

H. SETTLING DEFENDANTS' COVENANT. The Settling Defendants hereby covenant not to sue and agree not to assert any claims or causes of action against either Plaintiff with respect to 1987 NHOU ROD Response Costs and Past Basin-wide Response Costs including, but not limited to, (i) any direct or indirect claim. for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507), under CERCLA §§106(b)(2), 107, 111, 112, or 113, or any other provision of law; (ii) any claim against the United States or the State, including any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States or State pursuant to Sections 107 and 113 of CERCLA related to the 1987 NHOU ROD Response Costs or the Past Basin-wide Response Costs; or (iii) any claims arising out of response activities at the NHOU Site. 28 However, and notwithstanding the foregoing, nothing in this

Consent Decree shall be interpreted as waiving, abrogating, or resolving (1) any claims which any Settling Defendant has or may have based upon any alleged liability which the United States Department of Defense, any branch or division thereof, or any predecessor agency has or may have for conditions at the NHOU Site pursuant to CERCLA Section 106, 107, 113, 120 or 310, 42—U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, 9613, 9620 or 9659, or RCRA Section 7002, 42 U.S.C. § 6972, or (2) any claims which any Settling Defendant has or may have with respect to the 1987 NHOU ROD response costs or Past Basin-wide Response Costs against the United States pursuant to any contract between any Settling Defendant and the United States or any government contractor(s). Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. § 300.700(d).

2 '

. 6

VII. CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

- A. Except for the Releasees as defined in Section II, nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a party to this Consent Decree. Each of the Parties expressly reserves any and all rights (including, but not limited to, any right to contribution), defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action which each party may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the NHOU Site against any person not a party hereto or a Releasee.
- B. With regard to claims for contribution against the Releasees for matters addressed in this Consent Decree, the Parties hereto agree that the Releasees are entitled to the

protection from contribution actions or claims provided by Section 113(f)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2).

· 7

- C. "Matters addressed in this Consent Decree" mean 1987
 NHOU ROD Response Costs and Past Basin-wide Response Costs and
 shall include any claim for such costs that either Plaintiff has
 or may have against any Releasee with respect to any facility
 located within the NHOU Site.
- D. The Settling Defendants agree that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought by them for matters addressed in this Consent Decree they will notify the Plaintiffs in writing no later than sixty (60) days prior to the initiation of such suit or claim. The Settling Defendants also agree that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought against them for matters addressed in this Consent Decree they will notify in writing the Plaintiffs within sixty (60) days of service of the complaint on them. In addition, the Settling Defendants shall notify the Plaintiffs within ten (10) days of service or receipt of any Motion for Summary Judgment for matters addressed in this Consent Decree and within ten (10) days of receipt of any order from a court setting a case for trial for matters addressed in this Consent Decree.
- E. The Parties recognize and acknowledge that the settlement embodied in this Consent Decree relates only to the Interim Remedial Action selected in the 1987 NHOU ROD, as well as Past Basin-wide Response Costs, and that additional remedial actions may be necessary to address the contamination at the NHOU Site. In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the United States or the State and not precluded by

this Consent Decree, for injunctive relief, recovery of response costs, or other appropriate relief relating to the NHOU Site, the Settling Defendants shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised by the United States or the State in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case; provided, however, that nothing in this Section VII.E affects the enforceability of the covenants not to sue set forth in Section VI.

· 21

VIII. NHOU SITE ACCESS

- A. Commencing upon the date of entry of this Consent

 Decree and terminating upon issuance of a final ROD for the NHOU

 Site, the Settling Defendants who own property at the NHOU Site

 agree to provide the Plaintiffs and their representatives access

 at all reasonable times to their facilities located at the NHOU

 Site and any other property owned or controlled by the Settling

 Defendants to which access is required for the implementation of

 response actions for the NHOU Site, including, but not limited

 to, the following actions:
 - monitoring, investigation, remedial, or other activities at the NHOU Site;
 - verifying any data or information submitted to either Plaintiff;
 - 3. conducting investigations relating to contamination at or near the NHOU Site;
 - 4. obtaining samples; and

5. assessing the need for, planning, or implementing response actions at or near the NHOU Site.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

To the extent Plaintiffs deem consistent with protection of human health and the environment, Plaintiffs will provide the Settling Defendant with twenty-four (24) hours' notice prior to entry to properties accessed pursuant to this Consent Decree. In accessing Settling Defendants' properties pursuant to this Consent Decree, Plaintiffs shall not unreasonably interfere with Settling Defendants' business activities. However, nothing in this paragraph shall provide any Settling Defendant with any claim or cause of action whatsoever against Plaintiffs, including without limitation any claim for injunctive relief. It shall not constitute an unreasonable interference with Settling Defendants' business activities for a Plaintiff to take any action in response to an emergency deemed by such Plaintiff to constitute an endangerment to human health or the environment. Plaintiffs agree to split samples taken on property owned or controlled by a Settling Defendant if requested by the Settling Defendant.

B. Notwithstanding any provision of this Consent Decree, the Plaintiffs retain all of their respective access authorities and rights, including enforcement authorities related thereto, under CERCLA and any other applicable statute or regulation.

IX. ACCESS TO INFORMATION

A. The Settling Defendants shall provide to the Plaintiffs, upon request, copies of all non-privileged documents and information within their possession or control or that of their contractors or agents relating to the NHOU Site Interim Remedial Action, including, but not limited to, sampling,

analysis, chain of custody records, manifests, trucking logs, receipts, reports, sample traffic routing, correspondence, or other documents or information related to the NHOU Site Interim Remedial Action.

- B. The Settling Defendants may assert business confidentiality claims covering part or all of the documents or information submitted to the Plaintiffs under this Consent Decree to the extent permitted by and in accordance with Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7), and 40 C.F.R. § 2.203(b). Documents or information determined to be confidential by EPA will be afforded the protection specified in 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B. If no claim of confidentiality accompanies documents or information when they are submitted to either Plaintiff, or if EPA has notified the Settling Defendants that the documents or information are not confidential under the standards of Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, the public may be given access to such documents or information without further notice to the Settling Defendants.
- C. The Settling Defendants may assert that certain documents, records, and other information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal or state law. If the Settling Defendants assert such a privilege in lieu of providing documents, they shall provide the Plaintiffs with the following: (i) the title of the document, record, or information; (ii) the date of the document, record, or information; (iii) the name and title of the author of the document, record, or information; (iv) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (v) a description of the subject of

the document, record, or information; and (vi) the privilege asserted. However, no documents, reports, or other information created or generated pursuant to the requirements of this or any other consent decree with the United States shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged. If a claim of privilege applies only to a portion of a document, the document shall be provided to Plaintiffs in redacted form to mask the privileged information only.

25 l

- D. No claim of confidentiality or privilege shall be made with respect to any document that falls within Section 104(e)(7)(F) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7)(F).
- E. Notwithstanding any provision of this Consent Decree, the Plaintiffs retain all of their respective information gathering authorities and rights, including enforcement authorities related thereto, under CERCLA and any other applicable statute or regulation.

X. RETENTION OF RECORDS

- A. Until ten (10) years after the entry of this Consent Decree, each Settling Defendant shall preserve and retain all records and documents now in its possession or control or which come into its possession or control that relate in any manner to releases of hazardous substances or liability for response actions taken at the NHOU Site or the liability of any person for releases of hazardous substances or liability for response actions conducted and to be conducted at the NHOU Site, regardless of any corporate retention policy to the contrary.
- B. At the conclusion of this document retention period, the Settling Defendants shall notify the Plaintiffs at least

ninety (90) days prior to the destruction of any such records or documents, and, upon request by either Plaintiff, the Settling Defendants shall deliver any such records or documents to the Plaintiff who made the request. The Settling Defendants may assert that certain documents, records, and other information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal or state law. If the Settling Defendants assert such a privilege, they shall provide the Plaintiffs with the following: (i) the title of the document, record, or information; (ii) the date of the document, record, or information; (iii) the name and title of the author of the document, record, or information; (iv) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (v) a description of the subject of the document, record, or information; and (vi) the privilege asserted. However, no documents, reports, or other information created or generated pursuant to the requirements of this or any other consent decree with the United States shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged. If a claim of privilege applies only to a portion of a document, the document shall be provided to Plaintiffs in redacted form to mask the privileged information only.

1

2

3

4

5

- 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

C. Each Settling Defendant hereby certifies, individually, that it has not since notification of potential liability by the United States or the State or the filing of suit against it regarding the NHOU Site altered, mutilated, discarded, destroyed, or otherwise disposed of any records, documents, or other information relating to its potential liability regarding the NHOU Site which are the sole record of factual information,

except as such documents are destroyed or altered in the ordinary course of Settling Defendants' business and in compliance with State and federal law, and have not been destroyed for an improper purpose. Each Settling Defendant further warrants that it has fully complied with any and all EPA requests for information pursuant to Sections 104(e) and 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9604(e) and 9622(e), and Section 3007 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. § 6927.

XI. <u>NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS</u>

Whenever, under the terms of this Consent Decree, notice is required to be given or a document is required to be sent by one Party to another, it shall be directed to the individuals at the addresses specified below, unless those individuals or their successors give notice of a change to the other Parties in writing. Written notice as specified herein shall constitute complete satisfaction of any written notice requirement of the Consent Decree with respect to the United States, EPA, the State, and the Settling Defendants, respectively.

As to the United States:

David B. Glazer
Environmental Enforcement Section
Environment and Natural Resources Division
United States Department of Justice
301 Howard Street, Suite 870
San Francisco, California 94115

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611 Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044 Re: Case No. 90-11-3-1149

As to EPA:

1 |

Duane James

1

2

Remedial Project Manager — North Hollywood Operable Unit San Fernando Valley Superfund Site Hazardous Waste Management Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105

Marie M. Rongone
Assistant Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street, RC-3-3
San Francisco, California 94105

As to the State of California Department of Toxic Substances Control:

Ann Rushton
Deputy Attorney General, Environment Section
California Department of Justice
300 South Spring Street, #500
Los Angeles, California 90013

Hamid Saebfar Chief, Site Mitigation Branch Department of Toxic Substances Control, Region 3 1011 North Grandview Avenue Glendale, California 91201

As to the Settling Defendants: As listed in Appendix 2.

XII. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Consent Decree.

XIII. LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

A. This Consent Decree shall be lodged with the Court for a period of thirty (30) days for public notice and comment. The United States also shall publish notice in the Federal Register of the proposed settlement pursuant to section 122 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(1). The United States hereby gives notice and opportunity to the public for a public meeting in the affected area, and a reasonable opportunity to comment on the proposed settlement prior to its final entry, pursuant to section 6973(d) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 7003(d). The Plaintiffs reserve the

right to withdraw or withhold their consent if the comments regarding the Consent Decree disclose facts or considerations that indicate that this Consent Decree is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. The Settling Defendants consent to the entry of this Consent Decree without further notice.

1 |

B. If for any reason this Court, or upon appeal, a higher court should decline to approve this Consent Decree in the form presented, this agreement is voidable as to a Settling Defendant by written notice by such Settling Defendant to all other parties, or as to either Plaintiff by written notice by such Plaintiff to all other parties, and the terms of the agreement may not be used as evidence in any litigation between any of the remaining Parties to this Consent Decree and that Settling Defendant or Plaintiff as to whom this Consent Decree is void.

XIV. SECTION HEADINGS

The section headings set forth in this Consent Decree and its Table of Contents are included for convenience or reference only and shall be disregarded in the construction and interpretation of any of the provisions of this Consent Decree.

XV. SIGNATORIES

Each undersigned representative of a Settling Defendant to this Consent Decree, the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division of the United States Department of Justice, and the Deputy Attorney General of the California Department of Justice certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to this

1	document.						١		
2	S	O ORDERED	THIS, -		D.	AY OF _	may		199
3						BARDIANI	D DESCRIPTION		
4				_	********		R. PFAELZER		
5					United	States	District	Juage	
6		-		-		<u> </u>	. <u>.</u> . ·		
7		'		-					
8		•				• •	, C		
9							e a		
10								•	
11	·						4i:		
12									
13									
14	:	•					•		
15									
16									
17		•							
								.*	
18									
19					•				
20									
21									
22									
23									
24									
25						•			
26									

1	T	HE UNDERSIGNED PA	ARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in
2	the ma	tter of <u>U.S. v. </u>	Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., and Californi
3	v. All	ied-Signal, Inc.	et al., 93-6490-MRP, North Hollywood
4	Operab	le Unit/San Ferna	ando Valley Area 1 Site.
.5			·
6			FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
7		2/-/"7	/ / / // //
8	Date:		LOIS J. SCHUFFER
9			Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources
10			Division U.S. Department of Justice
11		9	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$
12	Date:	2-14-97	DAVID B. GLAZER
13			Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources
14			Division U.S. Department of Justice
15			o.b. Department or oustree
16	Date:		FELICIA MARCUS
17			Regional Administrator, Region IX
			U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
18	Date:		V10.77 W DOVOOV9
19			MARIE M. RONGONE Assistant Regional Counsel, Region IX
20	·		U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
21			FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
22		•	
23	Date:		Hamid Saebfar
24			Chief, Site Mitigation Branch California Department of Toxic
25			Substances Control, Region 3
26	Date:		
27			ANN RUSHTON Deputy Attorney General
28	Į .		California Department of Justice

1	-		FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2		,	
3	D . L.	10/4/96	11:15
4	Date:		-Hamid Saebfar
75	. •		Chief, Site Mitigation Branch California Department of Toxic
. 6	•		Substances Control, Region 3
7		-	
8			
و	5.	10/7/96	ann Rushton
10	Date:	1017.96	ANN RUSHTON
11			Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice
12			
13	-		
14	•		
15			
16		• •	
17	ii.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			·
24	-	•	
25		•	

1	THE UNDERSIGNED PAI	RTIES enter into this Consent Decree in		
2	the matter of U.S. v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., and California			
3	v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, North Hollywood			
4	Operable Unit/San Ferna	ndo Valley Area 1 Site.		
5	·			
6		FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
7	-			
8	Date:	LOIS J. SCHIFFER		
9		Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources		
10		Division U.S. Department of Justice		
11				
12	Date:	DAVID B. GLAZER		
13	,	Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources		
14		Division U.S. Department of Justice		
15				
16	Date: 1.16.47	FEZICIA MARCUS for		
17		Regional Administrator, Region IX U.S. Environmental Protection Agency		
18	/ / 0 ==	Dea De D		
19	Date: 1/10/97	MARIE M. RONGONE		
20	•	Assistant Regional Counsel, Region IX U.S. Environmental Protection Agency		
21		0.5. Environmental Protection Agency		
22		FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
23	Date			
24	Date:	Hamid Saebfar Chief, Site Mitigation Branch		
		California Department of Toxic		
25		Substances Control, Region 3		
26	Date:	AND DUCUMON		
27		ANN RUSHTON Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice		

```
THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the
   matter of U.S. v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and
 2
   California v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North
    Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site.
 4
   FOR DEFENDANT: AlliedSignal, Inc. (Name of Defendant)
 5
 6
7
 8
                                        (Name and Title of Signatory)
     "Richard H. Bennett, VP-Health, Safety, and Environment
 9
   Dated: October 2, 1996
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
```

1	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the
2	matter of <u>U.S. v. Allied-Signal. Inc., et al.</u> , 93-6490-MRP, and
3	California v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North
4	Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site.
5	FOR DEFENDANT: HAWKER PACIFIC, INC. (Name of Defendant)
6 7	
8	DAVID LOKKEN, PRESIDENT & CEO (Name and Title of Signatory)
10	Dated: 25 October 1996
11	Dated: Content 10
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	·
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the
2	matter of U.S. v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and
3	California v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North
4	Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site.
5	FOR DEFENDANT: Wagner Living Trust (Name of Defendant)
6	
7	2012 - 00 m
84	Dead WAGNER, Sole Trustee (Name and Title of Signatory)
9	WAGNER, Joble Hustee
10	Dated: Oct 28,1996
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the
2	matter of U.S. v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and
3	California v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North
4	Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site.
5	FOR DEFENDANT: Joseph Basinger (Name of Defendant)
. 6	
7	
8	(Name and Title of Signatory) JOSEPH BASINGER, Defendant
9	
10	Dated: 10/28/96
11	
12	
13	
14	
.15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES ente	r into this Consent Decree in the
2	matter of <u>U.S. y. Allied-Sig</u>	nal, Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and
3	California v. Allied-Signal,	Inc., et al, 93-6570-MRP, North
4	Hollywood Operable Unit/San	Fernando Valley Area 1 Site.
5		
5	FOR DEFENDANT: <u>USA Waste Ser</u>	vices, Inc., alleged successor in
7	interest to W	estern Waste Industries, and Western
8	Waste Industr	ies, alleged successor in interest to
9	Western Rubbi	sh Service, and Western Rubbish
10	Service	
11		·
12	DATED: June <u>30</u> , 1996	
13		
14	BY: Sinh Be	+
15	11 <u>1 </u>	
16	NAME: Leslie N. Bitte	nson
17		
18	TTTT: Vice President	
19		
20		cas Inc.
21	COMPANY: USA Waste Servi	ces, inc.
22	·	
23		
24		
25		
26	; ·	
27	,	

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of U.S. v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and California v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site. Crown Disposal Co., Inc. (Name of Defendant) T. Richardson. Vice President/ (Name and Title of Signatory) Secretary Dated: July 26. 1996

THE UNDERSIGNED FARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of <u>U.S. v. Allied-Signal</u>. Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and California v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site. FOR DEFENDANT: Los Angeles By-Products Co(Name of Defendant) 5 | 5 3 (Name and Title of Signatory): M. R. McAllister, President 9 | July 1, 1996 Dated: 121 13 14 15 16 i 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

25

26

27

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of U.S. v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and California v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site. FOR DEFENDANT: Holchem, Inc. (Name of Defendant) (Name and Title of Signatory) porate Vice President June 17

```
THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree in the
 1
   matter of U.S. v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP(Tx),
    and California v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP(Tx),
   North Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site.
    FOR THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT and RELEASEE:
 5
    LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
 6
    (f/k/a Southern California Rapid Transit District)
 7
 8
 9
    RONALD W. STAMM
    Deputy County Counsel
10
           September 9, 1996
    Date:
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

ANDERSON, MEPHARLI & CONNERS

LAWYERS

FOR DEFENDANT: CALIFORNIA CAR HIKERS SERVICE, INC. and SAM ADLEN

DATED:

DATED:

CALIFORNIA CAR HIKERS SERVICE,

INC.

SAM ADLEN, DWNE

SAM ADLEN an individual

Bv∙

SAM ADLEN

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of <u>U.S. v. Allied-Signal</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, et al., 93-6490-MRP, and California v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site. INCHCAPE, INC. (Name of Defendant) FOR DEFENDANT: (Name and Title of Signatory) Robert E. Wangard, Secretary and Attorney-In-Fact November 15, 1996 Dated: 14-

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the 1 | matter of U.S. v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and California v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site. Parker-Hannifin Corporation (Name of Defendant) FOR DEFENDANT: (Name and Title of Signatory)

-	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the
2	matter of U.S. v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and
3	California v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North
4	Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site.
5	3rowning-Ferris Industries FOR DEFENDANT: (Name of Defendant)
6	
. 7	
8	Sud K Surge (Name and Title of Signatory)
ا و	Vice President/Secretary
10	Dated:
1:	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
24	
25	•
26	
)	

1	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in
2	the matter of <u>U.S. v. Allied-Signal</u> . <u>Inc.</u> , et al., 93-6490-MRP,
3	and California v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North
4	Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site.
5	FOR DEFENDANT: (Name of Defendant)
6	E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company
7	
8	Your & Frain (Name and Title of Signatory)
9	Dated: 12/26/96 (Name and Title of Signatory)
10	Dated: 12/26/96
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	·
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
22	

1		<u>-</u>		·	
1	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into t	his Consent De	cree in the matt	er of <u>U.S. v. Allied-Sig</u>	nal.
2	Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and California v. Al	llied -Signal, In	ic., et al., 93-65	70-MRP. North Hollyw	ood
3	Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 S	Site.	·		
4	FOR: TEXTRON INC. AS THE PARENT O	ORPORATIO	N OF THIRD P	ARTY DEFENDANT	HR
5.	TEXTRON INC.				
6			· <u></u> _		·-
7		·	<u> </u>		
×		ime and Title o	f Signatory)	ou my	
Ŋ	Executive Vice President			17/3/96	
10	mad constant				
11	Dated: July 7. 1996				
12			,		
13					
14			•	· .	
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21		·		·	
22	:				
23					

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of U.S. v. Allied-Signal. Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and California v. Allied -Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site. FOR THIRD PARTY DEFRENDANT: HR TEXTRON INC. h Name and Title of Signatory) JOHN HEDGES X Vice President Dated: July 🗓. 1996

THE UNDERSIGNED FARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of U.S. v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and California v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site. AVX Corporation, a Delaware (Name of Defendant) FOR DEFENDANT: corporation (Name and Title of Signatory) Dated:

e :	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the
2 !	matter of <u>U.S. v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al.</u> , 93-6490-MRP, and
3	California v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North
4	Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site.
5	FOR DEFENDANT: *** (Name of Defendant)
6	""" Unitrode Corporation. a Maryland corporation. also known or doing business as U.S. Microtek Components -
7	
8	(Name and Title of Signatory)
- a,	Allan K. Compheston
10	Dated:
·	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
. 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of U.S. v. Allied-Signal
2	Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and California v. Allied -Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North Hollywood
3	Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site.
4	FOR: OWNER OF PROPERTY OPERATED BY THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT HR TEXTRON
5	INC.
ń	·•
7	· · · · ·
×	By Jan i. Blombers (Name and Title of Signatory)
Ŋ	JEANW. BLOMBERG
10	Dated: 524 . 1996
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of U.S. v. Allied-Signa
2	Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and California v. Allied -Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North Hollywood
3	Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site.
1	FOR: OWNER OF PROPERTY OPERATED BY THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT HR TEXTRO
5	INC.
6	
7	
8	By any Officen (Name and Title of Signatory)
Ŋ	GARY O'BRIEN
10	Dated: 1996
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

ļ	
1	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of U.S. v., Allied-Signal
. 2	Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and California v. Allied -Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North Hollywood
3	Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site.
4	FOR: OWNER OF PROPERTY OPERATED BY THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT HR TEXTRON
5	INC.
6	·
7	
х	By William E Tolon (Name and Title of Signatory)
y	WILLIAM E. TOLSON
0	Dated - 27 . 1996
ı	
2	
3	
4	
5	
16	
7	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
- '	

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of U.S. v. Allied-Signal Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and California v. Allied -Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site. FOR: OWNER OF PROPERTY OPERATED BY THIRD PARTY DEFENDANT HR TEXTRON INC. (Name and Title of Signatory) JOAN O'BRIEN

1	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of U.S. v. Allied-S	igna
2	Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and California v. Allied -Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North Hollyn	woo
3	Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site.	
4	FOR: SUNDSTRAND CORPORATION AS OWNER OF PROPERTY OPERATED BY TH	IIR.
5-	PARTY DEFENDANT HR TEXTRON INC.	
6		• ·
7		
8	By Milion & Evol (Name of Signatory)	
9	Assistant Secretary (Title of Signatory)	
10	Dated: August 5	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		•
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
25		
ا دے	A	

26[.]

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of U.S. v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and California v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site. Price Pfister, Inc. _ (Name of Defendant) ໌ (Name and Title of Signatory) Vice President June 17, 1996 Dated:

1	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the
2	matter of U.S. v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and
3	California v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North
4	Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site.
5	FOR DEFENDANTS, HERMAN BENJAMIN, ISABEL BENJAMIN, HERMAN BENJAMIN AND
6	ISABEL BENJAMIN, AS CO-TRUSTEES FOR THE BENJAMIN FAMILY TRUST DATED
7	OCTOBER 13, 1987, AND CHASE CHEMICAL COMPANY, INC., A DISSOLVED
8	CALIFORNIA CORPORATION:
9	\mathcal{A}
10	Dated: June 30, 1996 HERMAN BENJAMIN
11	IIDIQIM DENOMIZI
12	Dated: June 30 , 1996 ISABEL BENJAMIN
13	
14	Dated: June 30 , 1996 THE BENJAMIN FAMILY TRUST DATED OCTOBER 13, 1987
15	
16	By: Bran Brenge
17	HERMAN BENJAMIN, Co-Trustee of the Benjamin Family Trust Dated
18	October 13, 1987
19	Lington and the second
20	By: ISABEL BENJAMIN, Co-Trustee of the
21	Benjamin Family Trust Dated October 13, 1987
22	
23	Dated: June 30, 1996 CHASE CHEMICAL COMPANY, INC., a Dissolved California Corporation
24	1. 2
25	By: der- Denn
26	HERMAN BENJAMIN, its former Chief Executive Officer
27	
28	

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of U.S. v. Inc., et al., 93-6490-MRP, and California v. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., 93-6570-MRP, North Hollywood Operable Unit/San Fernando Valley Area 1 Site. FOR DEFENDANT: Nupla Corporation (Name of Defendant) (Name and Title of Signatory) an Carmien, Chairman, CEO, President

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND LIMITED RELEASE

This Settlement Agreement and Limited Release ("Agreement") is entered into by and between Hawker Pacific, Inc. ("Hawker"), Peggy M. Wagner, as Trustee of the Wagner Living Trust, and Joseph Basinger ("Wag/Bas") and Parker-Hannifin Corporation ("Parker") and Inchcape, Inc. ("Inchcape") (collectively, the "Parties"), as of the date of execution of the Agreement by all signatories hereto in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth below.

WHEREAS, in or about October, 1993, the United States of America and the State of California ("Plaintiffs") each filed lawsuits in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, naming as defendants, among other parties, Hawker and Wag/Bas. The lawsuits were served on Hawker and Wag/Bas in June, 1994. The lawsuits alleged that the groundwater in the San Fernando Valley Basin ("SFVB") had been contaminated with volatile organic compounds; that the Plaintiffs had undertaken response actions to investigate, evaluate and remedy the contamination; that releases of hazardous substances from defendants' facilities caused, and continue to cause, Plaintiffs to incur response costs, including costs relating to the investigation and interim remedy in the North Hollywood Operable Unit ("NHOU") of the SFVB, as well as investigation associated with the final remedy for the SFVB; and that, therefore, the defendants were liable to Plaintiffs for costs incurred and to be incurred. Plaintiffs raised claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended, and sought both recovery of costs and a

10/23/96 RHCH26:ABECKER 93452-4

EXHIBIT A

declaration that defendants be found jointly and severally liable for costs the Plaintiffs would incur in the future.

Plaintiffs alleged that Wag/Bas and Hawker were, respectively, the current owners and operator of a facility located at 11310 Sherman Way, Sun Valley, California (the "Site"), and that Plaintiffs had incurred and would incur costs responding to releases of hazardous substances from the Site. The Site is one of many facilities that Plaintiffs claim has released hazardous substances and contributed to groundwater contamination in the SFVB, and which has caused Plaintiffs to incur response costs. Hawker and Wag/Bas denied Plaintiffs' claims and denied that Plaintiffs were entitled to any of the relief requested.

WHEREAS, on or about August 22, 1994, Hawker and Wag/Bas served Parker and Inchcape, among others ("third-party defendants"), with complaints seeking, among other relief, a declaration that Parker and Inchcape, as former operators of the Site, were liable to Hawker and Wag/Bas for contribution, among other claims. Hawker's and Wag/Bas's pleadings are fully incorporated by reference as part of this Agreement. State of California vs. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., Civil No. 93-6570, Answer, Affirmative and Other Defenses, Cross-Claims, and Third-Party Complaint of Hawker Pacific Inc. (C.D. Cal. Aug. 22, 1994), State of California vs. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., Civil No. 93-6570, Answer, Cross-Claims, and Third-Party Complaint of Gordon N. Wagner and Peggy M. Wagner, in their capacity as Trustees of the Wagner Living Trust, and Joseph W. Basinger (C.D. Cal. Aug. 18, 1994), United States of America vs. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., Civil No. 93-6490, Answer,

Affirmative and Other Defenses, Cross-Claims, and Third-Party Complaint of Hawker Pacific, Inc. (C.D. Cal. Aug. 19, 1994), United States of America vs. Allied-Signal Inc., et al., Civil No. 93-6490, Answer, Cross-Claims, and Third-Party Complaint of Gordon N. Wagner and Peggy M. Wagner, in their capacity as Trustees of the Wagner Living Trust, and Joseph W. Basinger (C.D. Cal. Aug. 18, 1994).

WHEREAS, Parker and Inchcape filed answers denying Hawker's and Wag/Bas's allegations and any and all liability and, among other actions, filed counterclaims against Hawker and Wag/Bas and raised certain affirmative defenses. Parker's and Inchcape's pleadings are fully incorporated by reference as part of this Agreement. United States of America vs. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., and State of California vs. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al. Civil No. 93-6490 consolidated with 93-6570, Answer to Third-Party Complaint of Hawker Pacific, Inc., Affirmative and Other Defenses, Third-Party Cross-Claims, Third-Party Counter-Claim, and Third-Party Complaint of Inchcape, Inc. (C.D. Cal. Feb. 28, 1995), United States of America vs. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al., and State of California vs. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al. Civil No. 93-6490 consolidated with 93-6570, Answer to Third-Party Complaint of Gordon N. Wagner, Peggy M. Wagner, and Joseph W. Basinger, Affirmative and Other Defenses, Third-Party Cross-Claims and Third-Party Complaint of Inchcape, Inc. (C.D. Cal. Feb. 28, 1995), United States of America vs. Allied-Signal. Inc., et al., and State of California vs. Allied-Signal, Inc., et al. Civil No. 93-6490 consolidated with 93-6570, Answer of Parker-Hannifin Corporation to Third-Party Complaint of Hawker Pacific, Inc.; Counterclaims; Cross-Claims against Electronic Solutions, Inc., Zero Corp., Inchcape, and Gordon N. Wagner and Peggy

ROSS & HARDIES

Wagner, in their capacity as Trustees of the Wagner Living Trust; and Joseph W. Basinger (C.D. Cal. Feb. 28, 1995), United States of America vs. Allied-Signal. Inc., et al., and State of California vs. Allied-Signal. Inc., et al. Civil No. 93-6490 consolidated with 93-6570, Answer of Parker-Hannifin Corporation to Third-Party Complaint of Gordon N. Wagner and Peggy Wagner, in their capacity as Trustees of the Wagner Living Trust; and Joseph W. Basinger; Counterclaims; Cross-Claims against Electronic Solutions, Inc., Zero Corporation, Inchcape, and Hawker Pacific Corporation (C.D. Cal. Feb. 28, 1995).

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs' lawsuits were consolidated by order of Court entered in or about December, 1994 as United States of America, et al. v. AlliedSignal, et al. in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Consolidated Case Nos. 93-6490 and 93-6570 MRP (Tx) (the "Litigation"). The Litigation remains pending, including the referenced third-party actions, as well as other third-party actions.

WHEREAS, in September, 1995, Plaintiffs amended their complaints to delete their prayers for declaratory relief.

WHEREAS, Hawker and Wag/Bas have reached settlements in principle with Plaintiffs pursuant to which, among other things, Hawker and Wag/Bas and Plaintiffs have agreed to settlement amounts for the claims relating to past costs associated with 1) the NHOU interim remedy; and 2) a share of SFVB basin-wide investigation costs through April 30, 1992. Pursuant to the terms of this settlement in principle, Hawker and Wag/Bas shall

ROSS & HARDIES

make certain payments to Plaintiffs and shall become signatories to, and receive the protections of, a second partial consent decree (the "Second Partial Consent Decree") to be signed by the Parties and presented to the Court for approval in the Litigation.

WHEREAS, as part of the settlement in principle negotiated by Hawker and Wag/Bas with Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs have represented that if Hawker and Wag/Bas reach agreement with any or all of their third-party defendants to settle the third-party claims raised in the Litigation and, pursuant to such agreements, third-party defendants contribute money towards the settlement of Plaintiffs' claims against Hawker and Wag/Bas, then said third-party defendants may also become signatories to, and receive the protections of, the Second Partial Consent Decree.

WHEREAS, Hawker and Wag/Bas and Parker and Inchcape have reached settlements in principle with respect to the third-party and other claims they have raised in the Litigation, including the basis on which Parker and Inchcape each will contribute certain amounts in connection with the settlement Hawker and Wag/Bas will enter into with Plaintiffs and in return therefor receive the protections of the Second Partial Consent Decree and certain additional releases as herein provided, and in the interests of avoiding further litigation and without making any admission as to the claims raised, the Parties desire to enter into this Agreement for the purposes just stated.

WHEREAS, in anticipation of such settlement, on or about April 3, 1996, Hawker, Wag/Bas. Parker and Inchcape (plus third-party defendants Electronic Solutions and Zero, which will not be parties to either this Agreement or the Second Partial Consent Decree) entered into an agreed stipulation to dismiss without prejudice ("Dismissal"), all claims in the Third-Party Action, whether counter, cross or third-party, with each party to bear its own attorneys fees and costs of suit.

NOW THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which from each to the other is hereby acknowledged, the Parties agree as follows:

- Parker and Inchcape shall each pay to Plaintiffs the sum total of One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000), for total payment of Three Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$300,000), as contributions to the settlement negotiated between Hawker, Wag/Bas and Plaintiffs, pursuant to and in accordance with the terms of the Second Partial Consent Decree to be signed by the Parties, including Parker and Inchcape. Hawker and Wag/Bas shall make payments required of them under the Second Partial Consent Decree.
- 2. In consideration of the payments described in paragraph 1, Hawker and Wag/Bas and their respective parents, subsidiaries, and affiliated companies, and their respective directors, officers, shareholders, employees and agents shall fully and forever release and discharge each of Parker and Inchcape and their respective parents, subsidiaries, and affiliated companies and their respective parents, subsidiaries, and affiliated companies

.4

and their respective directors, officers, shareholders, employees, and agents from: (a) any and all claims which have been raised in the Litigation and are settled by the Second Partial Consent Decree, including, but not limited to all past, present, and future investigation, remediation and related costs associated with the NHOU interim remedy and for past SFVB basin-wide costs through April 30, 1992, but not including any future claims arising out of future NHOU or SFVB regional groundwater investigation or remediation; and (b) any and all claims for all past, present and future investigation, response, remediation, or attorneys', consultants', and experts' fees associated with any investigation or remediation, whether voluntary or required of the Site, including Site soils (or other soils impacted by migration of contaminants from Site soils) and Site groundwater cleanup, if any.

In consideration for the releases provided by Hawker and Wag/Bas to Parker and Inchcape, and the performance by Hawker and Wag/Bas of their obligations hereunder, and subject to the provisions of paragraph 6 hereof, Parker and Inchcape and their respective parents, subsidiaries, and affiliated companies, and their respective directors, officers, shareholders, employees, and agents shall fully and forever release and discharge Hawker and Wag/Bas and their respective parents, subsidiaries and affiliated companies, and their respective directors, shareholders, employees, and agents from: (a) any and all claims which have been raised in the Litigation and are settled by the Second Partial Consent Decree, including, but not limited to all past, present, and future investigation, remediation and related costs associated with the NHOU interim remedy and for past SFVB basin-wide costs through April 30, 1992, but not including any future claims arising out of future NHOU or SFVB regional groundwater investigation or remediation; and (b) any and all claims for all past investigation, response, remediation, or attorneys', consultants' and experts' fees which may

have been previously incurred by Parker or Inchcape and which are associated with any prior investigation or remediation, whether voluntary or required, of the Site, including Site soils (or other soils impacted by migration of contaminants from Site soils) and Site groundwater cleanup, if any.

- 4. In consideration for the releases provided by Parker and Inchcape to each other and the performance by Parker and Inchcape of their obligations hereunder, Parker and Inchcave and their respective parents, subsidiaries, and affiliated companies, and their respective directors, officers, shareholders, employees, and agents shall fully and forever release and discharge each other and their respective parents, subsidiaries, and affiliated companies, and their respective directors, officers, shareholders, employees, and agents from (a) any and all claims which have been raised in the Litigation and are settled by the Second Partial Consent Decree, including but not limited to all past, present and future investigation, remediation and related costs associated with the NHOU interim remedy and for past SFVB basin-wide costs through April 30, 1992, but not including any future claims arising out of future NHOU or SFVB regional groundwater investigation or remediation; and (b) any and all claims for all past investigation, response, remediation, or attorneys', consultants', and expens' fees which may have been previously incurred and which are associated with any prior investigation or remediation, whether voluntary or required, of the Site including Site soils (or other soils impacted by migration of contaminants from Site soils) and Site groundwater cleanup, if any.
- 5. As additional consideration, upon the entry by the court of the Second Partial Consent Decree, the Parties (a) agree to dismiss without prejudice all claims against each

350

other in the Litigation; and (b) agree and covenant not to sue each other with regard to any of the claims released in paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 above. The Parties further agree that: (a) each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and costs of suit; and (b) in this or in any other litigation, no party shall attempt to recover some or all of its attorneys' fees and costs of suit relating to the Litigation; and (c) the Parties shall not refile claims against each other in the Litigation.

- 6. Nothing herein shall be interpreted or construed (a) to limit, alter or amend in any way any rights or obligations of any of the Parties to the Agreement of Purchase and Sale of Assets between Hawker and Inchcape [as Flight Accessory Services, Inc.] dated February 25, 1987 and the Asset Purchase Agreement between Parker and Inchcape [as Atkins, Kroll & Co., Ltd.] dated August 2, 1982, all of which shall be preserved. Without limitation, the Parties reserve all rights, claims and defenses relating to their respective liabilities and obligations under the above agreements; or (b) as an admission of or by any party of any question of fact or law, or as a waiver of any defense, and this Agreement may not be used or asserted by any pany hereto or any third pany (including any administrative agency) as a precedent in any litigation or other proceeding. No party may introduce this Agreement into evidence in any action or proceeding, other than an action or proceeding to enforce the terms hereof or a party's rights hereunder and this Agreement does not serve to establish an allocation of any party's share of liability.
- Hawker and Wag/Bas each agree to timely and fully perform all obligations 7. imposed upon them (or which may seek to be imposed upon Parker and/or Inchcape, other than Parker's and/or Incheape's cash payment, record access and record retention obligations)

as Settling Defendants under the Second Partial Consent Decree - including, but not limited to, payment of all amounts required of Hawker and Wag/Bas thereunder.

- 8. This Agreement shall be appended as an Exhibit to the Second Partial Consent Decree. To the extend there is any conflict or difference between the terms of this Agreement and the Second Partial Consent Decree, the terms of this Agreement shall control as between and among the Parties hereto insofar as their respective rights and obligations are concerned.
- 9. The Parties represent that they have been fully apprised of all material facts regarding the matters settled by this Agreement, and that each has had the benefit and advice of counsel of its choice and therefore enters into this Agreement with full knowledge of the consequences of its actions.
- 10. This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the Parties and their respective successors and assigns.
- This Agreement contains the final, complete, and exclusive agreement among the Parties and supersedes and prevails over all prior communications regarding the matters contained herein. This Agreement may not be amended, modified, or waived except by an instrument in writing executed by the Parties. This Agreement is executed without reliance upon any promise, warranty, or representation other than those expressly contained herein.
- 12. This Agreement shall be construed and interpreted according to the laws of the State of California.

- 13. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.
- The Parties represent and warrant that the individuals executing this Agreement are empowered and authorized to sign on behalf of the Parties for whom they have signed.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties by their duly authorized representatives have executed this Agreement on the date shown by their signatures below.

HAWKER RACIFIC, INC.	PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION
By: Dal Lohth	By:
Printed: DAVID LOKKEN	Printed:
Title: PRESIDENT & CEO	Title:
Date: 25 October 1996	Date:
PEGGY M. WAGNER	INCHCAPE, INC.
as Trustee of the Wagner Living Trust	By:
	Printed:
Date:	Title:
•	Date:
JOSEPH BASINGER	
Date:	

- 13. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.
- 14. The Parties represent and warrant that the individuals executing this Agreement are empowered and authorized to sign on behalf of the Parties for whom they have signed.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties by their duly authorized representatives have executed this Agreement on the date shown by their signatures below.

nawker pagific, enc.	PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION
Ву:	By:
Printed:	Printed:
Title:	Title:
Date:	Date:
PEGGY M. WAGNER	INCHCAPE, INC.
as Trustee of the Wagner Living Trust	6y:
Taggy Malagner Trustee	Printed:
Date: Oct 28, 1996	Title:
. *	Date:
JOSEPH BASINGER	
·	
Date:	

13.	This i	Agreement	may be	execut	ed in	counterpa	ns. e	each o	f which	shall be	
deemed an	original.	but all of	which to	gether	shall	constitute	on:	and th	ne same	instrume	nt.

are empowered and authorized to sign on behalf of the Parties for whom they have signed.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties by their duly authorized representatives have executed this Agreement on the date shown by their signatures below.

IIAWALK I ACII ICI LIC.	PARER-HAMMITIN CORPORATION			
By:	By:			
Printed:	Printed:			
Title:	Title:			
Date:	Date:			
PEGGY M. WAGNER	INCHCAPE, INC.			
as Trustee of the Wagner Living Trust	By:			
•	Printed:			
Date:	Title:			
	Date:			

→ JOSEPH BASINGER

200 ph Dasinger.

- 13. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which together shall constitute one and the same instrument.
- 14. The Parties represent and warrant that the individuals executing this Agreement are empowered and authorized to sign on behalf of the Parties for whom they have signed.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties by their duly authorized representatives have executed this Agreement on the date shown by their signatures below.

HAWKER PACIFIC, INC.	PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION
Ву:	By: Moga
Printed:	Printed: Christopher H. Mor
Title:	Title: Asst. Gen. Course
Date:	Date: 10/28/96
PEGGY M. WAGNER as Trustee of the Wagner Living Trust	INCHCAPE, INC. By:
	Printed:
Date:	Title:
	Date:
JOSEPH BASINGER	
Date:	

1	13.	This A	Agreen	nent	may l	be execu	ted in counterparts			s, each of which		hich	ch shall be	
									4					
deemed	an	original.	but all	of v	which	togethe	r shall	constitute	one	and t	the s	same	instrur	nent.

14. The Parties represent and warrant that the individuals executing this Agreement are empowered and authorized to sign on behalf of the Parties for whom they have signed.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties by their duly authorized representatives have executed this Agreement on the date shown by their signatures below.

HAWKER PACIFIC, INC.	PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION
By:	By:
Printed:	Printed:
Title:	Title:
Date:	Date:
PEGGY M. WAGNER as Trustee of the Wagner Living Trust	INCHCAPE, INC. By: Cult Learny Printed: Robert E. Wangard
Date:	Title: Secretary and Attorney-In-Fact Date: November 15, 1996
JOSEPH BASINGER	
Date:	

<u>Appendix 1</u> Releasees

Auto Wrecking, Inc., Samson Auto Salvage, Inc., Brothers Foreign Car Auto Wrecking, Inc., Solid Waste General Corp. of America, Inc., Aadlen Bros. Auto Wrecking, Samson Auto Salvage, Brothers Foreign Car Auto Wrecking, U-Pick Parts, A-U Foreign Car Auto Wrecking, "A" Foreign Car Auto Wrecking, Brothers Auto Sales, Samson Auto Sales, Sun Valley Swap Meet, American Truck Salvage

Lot, Universal Auto Wrecking, Tuxford-Telfair-Penrose

Garrett Corporation, Bendix

Affiliates of AlliedSignal, Inc.:

Corporation.

4 Affiliates of California Car Hikers Service, Inc.: Aadlen Bros.

Affiliates of Crown Disposal Company, Inc.: ABC, All City, Arrow Pickup, Atomic Disposal, Booth Disposal, Coastal Rubbish, Pacific Pickup, Extra Rubbish, Larey Rubbish, Magic Roll-Off/Magic Disposal, Eagle Disposal, Sav-On Disposal, Superior Waste, W.R. Brown, Vick's Disposal, Diaz Rubbish, Aero Salvage, Disposal Control, Bonanza Disposal, Larry Ionicone, West Coast Rubbish, E-G, Western Reclamation, Community Recycling & Resource Recovery.

Enterprises, aka TTPE, Adlen Group Enterprises, Adlen Core Supply

<u>Affiliates of Hawker Pacific. Inc.</u>: Dunlop Aviation Division, Dunlop Aviation Canada, Inc., Hawker Pacific Holland, and Flight Accessory Services.

Appendix 1

1	Appendix 2 Notices and Submissions
2	For AlliedSignal, Inc.
3	
4	AlliedSignal Aerospace Vice President and General Counsel 2525 West 190th Street
5	Law Department Torrance, CA 90504-6099
6	
7	For AVX Filters Corporation, U.S. Mikrotec Components, and Unitrode, Inc.
8	Craig S.J. Johns
9	Crosby, Heafey, Roach & May 1999 Harrison Street *Oakland, CA 94612-3573
10	For Browning-Ferris Industries
11	
12	Michael L. Miller Browning-Ferris Industries 757 N. Eldridge Street
13	Houston, TX 77079
14	For Joseph Basinger and Peggy Wagner
15	Aaron Rosen, Esq. 9606 S. Santa Monica Boulevard
16	Suite 200 Beverly Hills, CA 90210
17 18	For California Car Hikers Service, Inc.
	Milton Hoffman, General Manager
19	California Car Hikers Service, Inc. Adlen Brothers Autowrecking
20	11409 Penrose Sun Valley, California 91352
21	
22	For Chase Chemical Company, Inc., Herman and Isabel Benjamin
23	and The Benjamin Family Trust
24	Zane S. Averbach, Esq. Steven L. Feldman, Esq.
25	Goldfarb, Sturman & Sturman 15760 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1900 Encino, CA 91436
26	EUCTUO, CK 21436
27	
28	

1		
2	For	Crown Disposal Company, Inc.
3	·	John Richardson, President Crown Disposal Company, Inc. 9189 DeGarmo Avenue
4		P.O. Box 1081 Sun Valley, CA 91352
5	T	
6		E.I. DuPont De Nemours
7		Legal Department D-8042 1007 Market Street Wilmington, Delaware 19898
8		WIIMINGCON, Delaware 19898
9	For	Hawker Pacific, Inc.
10		David L. Lokken President and Chief Executive Officer
11		Hawker Pacific, Inc. 11310 Sherman Way
12		Sun Valley, California 91352
13		Robert C. Hamaberg
14		Chairman Hawker Pacific, Inc.
15	1	c/o BTR Aerospace Group 200-1780 Wellington Avenue
16		Winnipeg, Manitoba R3H1B3 Canada
17		Edgar P. DeVylder, Jr., Esq. Vice President and General Counsel
18		BTR, Inc.
19		Stamford Harbor Park 333 Ludlow Street
20		Stamford, CT 06902
21		Norman B. Berger, Esq. Varga Berger Ledsky & Hayes
22		224 South Michigan Avenue Suite 350
23		Chicago, IL 60604
24	For	Holchem, Inc.
25		Mr. Adrian Hol Corporate Vice President
26		Holchem, Inc. 1551 North Tustin Avenue
27		Suite 430 Santa Ana, CA 92701
28		

1	(Holchem, Inc., cont'd.) and
2	Richard Montevideo, Esq. Rutan & Tucker
3	P.O. Box 1950
4	Costa Mesa, CA 92628-1950
5	For Inchcape, Inc.
<u>:</u> 6	Robert Wangard, Esq
7	Ann Beckert, Esq. Ross & Hardies
8	150 North Michigan Avenue Chicago, ILL 60601-7567
9	
10	For Los Angeles By-Products Co.
11	Los Angeles By-Products Co. 1810 East 25th Street
12	Los Angeles, CA 90058 Attn.: M.R. McAllister
13	Greenwald, Hoffman & Meyer
14	500 N. Brand Blvd., Ste. 920 Glendale, CA 91203-1904
15	Attn.: L.F. Meyer
16	For Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
17	Ronald W. Stamm
18	Deputy County Counsel Transportation Division
19	One Gateway Plaza Los Angeles, CA 90012-2932
20	For Nupla Corporation
21	J. Allen Carmien
22	Chairman, CEO, President Nupla Corporation
23	11912 Sheldon Street Sun Valley, CA 91352
24	Kurt Weissmuller, Esq.
25	McClintock, Weston, Benshoof, Rochefort, Rubalcava & MacCuish LLP
26	444 South Flower Street, Suite 4300 Los Angeles, CA 90071
27	For Parker-Hannifin Corporation
28	Christopher Morgan, Esq. Parker-Hannifin Corporation

1	17325 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, OH 44112
3	Joann Lichtman, Esq. Howrey & Simon
4	550 South Hope Street, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90071
5	For Price Pfister, Inc.
· 6 7 8	Linda L. Biagioni Vice President, Environmental Affairs Black & Decker Corporation 701 E. Joppa Rd. Towson, MD 21204
9	For Sundstrand Corporation
10	Sundstrand Corporation 4949 Harrison Avenue
11	P.O. Box 7003 Rockfort, Ill. 61125-7003
12	and
13	Michael Hickok, Esq.
14 15	11444 West Olympic Blvd. 10th fl. Los Angeles, CA 90064
16	For Jean W. Blomberg:
17	Jean W. Blomberg 2386 Saddleback Drive
18	Danville, CA 94506
19	and
20	Michael Hickok, Esq. 11444 West Olympic Blvd.
21	10th fl. Los Angeles, CA 90064
22	For Joan O'Brien
23 24	Joan O'Brien 1031 N. Fairoaks
25	Sunnyvale, CA 94089
26	and
27	Michael Hickok, Esq. 11444 West Olympic Blvd.
28	10th fl. Los Angeles, CA 90064

```
11
         For Gary O'Brien
 2
         Gary O'Brien
 3
         9 Dawn Place
         Mill Valley, CA
                            94941
 4
         and
 5
         Michael Hickok, Esq.
 6
         11444 West Olympic Blvd.
         10th fl.
 7
         Los Angeles, CA
                            90064
 8
         For William E. Tolson:
 9
         William E. Tolson
         999 Green St.
10
         Apartment 1001
11
         San Francisco, CA
                              94133
12
         and
13
         Michael Hickok, Esq.
         11444 West Olympic Blvd.
         10th fl.
14
         Los Angeles, CA
                            90064
15
16
    For H.R. Textron and Textron, Inc.
17
         Michael Hickok, Esq.
18
         11444 West Olympic Blvd.
         10th fl.
19
         Los Angeles, CA
                            90064
20
    For Western Waste Industries
21
22
         Timothy Gallagher, Esq.
         Gallagher & Gallagher
23
         611 West Sixth St., Suite 2500
         Los Angeles, CA 90017
24
25
26
27
```

28